

Close **Do not show this message again**

Please be aware that this old REACH registration data factsheet is no longer maintained; it remains frozen as of 19th May 2023. The new ECHA CHEM database has been released by ECHA, and it now contains all REACH registration data. There are more

details on the transition of ECHA's published data to ECHA CHEM here.

Access ECHA CHEM

Use of this information is subject to copyright laws and may require the permission of the owner of the information, as described in the ECHA Legal Notice.





Environmental fate & pathways
Bioaccumulation: aquatic / sediment

S-01 | Summary

Administrative data

Link to relevant study record(s)

Reference

| Reference 1 | |
|--|---|
| Endpoint: | bioaccumulation in aquatic species, other |
| Type of information: | experimental study |
| Adequacy of study: | weight of evidence |
| Reliability: | 2 (reliable with restrictions) |
| Rationale for reliability incl. deficiencies: | study well documented, meets generally accepted scientific principles, acceptable for assessment |
| Justification for type of information: | The study record represents the experimental result on a substance which represents one chain length of the glyceride and fatty acid constituents of the 'oils, vegetable, deodorizer distillates'. |
| Principles of method if other than guideline: | Fish, algae and activated sludge were exposed to the test substance for 3 d, 1 d and 5 d respectively and bioaccumulation factors were estimated as a result. |
| GLP compliance: | no |
| Test organisms (species): | other: Leuciscus idus melanotus, Chlorella fusca var.vacuolata and activated sludge |
| Route of exposure: | aqueous |
| Test type: | static |
| Water / sediment media type: | natural water: freshwater |
| Nominal and measured concentrations: | Nominal concentration: 0.05 mg/L |
| Details on estimation of bioconcentration: | Bioaccumulation of tristearin and palmitic acid was measured in fish following exposure to the substances for 3 d. The bioconcentration was measured as the concentration of chemical in the fish/average concentration of chemical in water (µg/g). Bioaccumulation of tristearin and palmitic acid was measured in algae and activated sludge following exposure |

| | bioconcentration was measured as the concentration of chemical in algae or sludge/final concentration of chemical in water (µg/g). |
|---|---|
| | Key result |
| Conc. / dose: | 0.05 mg/L |
| Туре: | BCF |
| Value: | 10 dimensionless |
| Basis: | whole body w.w. |
| Remarks on result: | other: Value for tristearin in fish |
| | Key result |
| Conc. / dose: | 0.05 mg/L |
| Туре: | BCF |
| Value: | 60 dimensionless |
| Remarks on result: | other: Value for palmitic acid in fish |
| Details on results: | The BCF values for tristearin in fish, algae and activated sludge were determined to be <10, 5,840 and 3,600 respectively. The BCF values for palmitic acid in fish, algae and activated sludge were determined to be 60, 8,400 and 2,800 respectively. The differences observed between fish and algae/activated sludge values respectively suggests a detoxification process in higher developed organisms as fish (metabolism). |
| following bioaccumu | polating these short term results leads to the lation classification based on long-term tests be with the OECD flow-through-test): |
| Based on this, tristea low bioaccumulation | rin and palmitic acid both can be considered to have potential in fish. |
| Conclusions: | Under the conditions of the study, both tristearin and palmitic acid can be considered to have a low bioaccumulation potential in fish. |

| Endpoint: | bioaccumulation in aquatic species, other | |
|----------------------|---|--|
| Type of information: | (Q)SAR | |

| dequacy of study: | key study | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Reliability: | 2 (reliable with restrictions) | | | | |
| Rationale for reliability incl. deficiencies: | results derived from a valid (Q)SAR model and falling into its applicability domain, with adequate and reliable documentation / justification | | | | |
| ustification for type of information: | See below under 'Overall remarks, attachments' for applicability domain. | | | | |
| Reason / purpose for cross-reference: | reference to other study | | | | |
|)ualifier: | according to guideline | | | | |
| Guideline: | other: REACH guidance on QSARs: Chapter R.6. QSARs and grouping of chemicals | | | | |
| Principles of method if other than guideline: | Meylan,WM, Howard,PH, Boethling, RS et al, 1999. Improved method for estimating bioconcentration/bioaccumulation factor from octanol/water partition coefficient. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 18(4):664-672. Zhao C, Boriani E, Chana A, Roncaglioni A and Benfenati E, 2008. A new hybrid system of QSAR models for predicting bioconcentration factors (BCF)", Chemosphere 73:1701–1707. Hamelink, J. L., 1977. Current bioconcentration test methods and theory. In Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Evaluation, edited by F. L. Mayer and J. L. Hamelink. West Conshohocken, PA ASTM STP. | | | | |
| Details on estimation of bioconcentration: | In absence of experimental data, the bioaccumulation potential (BCF) of the test substance was determined through computational methods (such as BCFBAF v3.01 of EPIWEB v 4.1, BCF (CAESAR) 2.1.14 and T.E.S.T US EPA model v.4.1). | | | | |
| | Assumption: - The individual BCF values was calculated for the shortest and longest alkyl chain containing representative substances of each class present in the test substance. - These representative substances each should represent at >10 % of the test substance composition. - As the test substance is a UVCB the individual or average estimated Log Kow values for the constituents will be used to represent a range of values for the entire substance. | | | | |
| | Therefore, the BCF values were determined for the following individual substances, present at >10% : a) Octanoic acid, stearic and oleic acid representing free fatty acids (substance class) The calculation input will be provided in SMILES notation as Octanoic acid: 0=C(0)CCCCCCC Stearic acid: 0=C(0)CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC | | | | |
| | Oleic acid: O=C(0)CCCCCCCC=CCCCCCCC b) Triglyceride of Octanoic acid, stearic and oleic acid, representing glycerides of fatty acids (substance class) The calculation input will be provided in SMILES notation as | | | | |
| | Trioctanoin: CCCCCCC(=0)OCC(COC(=0)CCCCCC)OC(=0)CCCCCCC Tristearin: 0=C(CCCCCCCCCCCCCC)OC(COC(=0)CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC | | | | |
| | Triolein: 0=C(0C(C0C(=0)CCCCCCC=CCCCCCC)C0C(=0)CCCCCCC=CCCCCCCCCC | | | | |
| | Method details: 1. BCFBAF v3.01 program of EPISuite v 4.1: For the triglycerides, tocopherols and beta-sitosterols, the BCF values was estimated by using the derived QSAR estimation equation for Log Kow > 7.0 from EPISuite: Log BCF = -0.49 Log Kow + 7.554 + ∑ correction factors (n = 35, r2 = 0.634, Q2 = 0.57, std dev = 0.538, avg dev = 0.396) For the fatty acids, the below equation for ionic compounds was used for the BCF estimation Log BCF = 1.00 (Ionic; Log Kow dependent) | | | | |
| | 2. CAESAR v.2.1.14: The BCF value via CAESAR is estimated using a combination of 2 Radial Basis Function Neural Network (RBF-NN) models (model A and B) developed with 5 descriptors each, for a total of 8 descriptors (2 are in common between the models). Details about the NN architecture are provided in the supporting information of the paper by Zhao et al., 2008. The estimations are categorised into the following scenarios: | | | | |
| | If mean (value given by models A and B) > 2.410: log BCF = 1.052 * [mean (value given by models A and B)] - 0.065 If 1.355 < mean (value given by models A and B) ≤ 2.410: log BCF = 0.996 * [min (value given by models A and B)] + 0.042 Otherwise log BCF = 0.936 * [mean (value given by models A and B)] - 0.123 | | | | |
| | 3. T.E.S.T US EPA model v.4.1: This model uses multiple methodologies for prediction of endpoints i.e., Hierarchical clustering: The toxicity for a given query compound is estimated using the weighted average of the predictions from several different models. The different models are obtained by using Ward's method to divide the training set into a series of structurally similar clusters. A genetic algorithm-based technique is used to generate models for each cluster. The models are generated prior to runtime. Single model method: Predictions are made using a multilinear regression model that is fit to the | | | | |

| | training set (using molecular descriptors as independent variables) using a genetic algorithm-based approach. The regression model is generated prior to runtime. - Group contribution: Predictions are made using a multilinear regression model that is fit to the training set (using molecular fragment counts as independent variables). The regression model is generated prior to runtime. - FDA method: The prediction for each test chemical is made using a new model that is fit to the chemicals that are most similar to the test compound. Each model is generated at runtime. - Nearest neighbour: The predicted toxicity is estimated by taking an average of the three chemicals in the training set that are most similar to the test chemical. Further details of these methodologies can be found in the publications mentioned in the US EPA website: https://www.epa.gov/chemical-research/toxicity-estimation-software-tool-test |
|---------------------|---|
| | Key result |
| Туре: | other: average BCF for fatty acids |
| Value: | >= 11 - < 17 L/kg |
| Basis: | whole body w.w. |
| Remarks on result: | other: average BCF values estimated using BCFBAF of EPISuite, T.E.S.T. US EPA and CAESAR BCF models |
| | Key result |
| Type: | other: Estimated BCF of glycerides |
| Value: | >= 4 - < 143 L/kg |
| Basis: | whole body w.w. |
| Remarks on result: | other: average BCF values estimated using BCFBAF of EPISuite, T.E.S.T. US EPA and CAESAR BCF models |
| T | Key result |
| Type: | other: Estimated BCF for unsaponifiable matter |
| Value: | >= 105 - <= 654 L/kg |
| Basis: | whole body w.w. |
| Remarks on result: | other: average BCF values estimated using BCFBAF of EPISuite, T.E.S.T. US EPA and CAESAR BCF models |
| T | Key result |
| Type: | other: Overall estimated BCF for test substance |
| Value: | >= 4 - < 654 L/kg |
| Basis: | whole body w.w. |
| Remarks on result: | other: average BCF values estimated for the individual constituents using BCFBAF of EPISuite, T.E.S.T. US EPA and CAESAR BCF models |
| Details on results: | Estimation by BCFBAF v3.01 program of EPIWEB v 4.1: (A) Glycerides: SMILES : CCCCCCC(=0)CC(COC(=0)CCCCCCC)OC(=0)CCCCCCC CHEM : Trioctanoin MOL FOR: C27 H50 06 MOL WT : 470.70 ———————————————————————————————————— |

```
_____
Whole Body Primary Biotransformation Rate Estimate for Fish:
--+--
                                ----+--
TYPE | NUM | LOG BIOTRANSFORMATION FRAGMENT DESCRIPTION | COEFF | VALUE
Frag | 3 | Linear C4 terminal chain [CCC-CH3] | 0.0341 | 0.1024
Frag | 3 | Ester [-C(=0)-O-C] | -0.7605 | -2.2816
Frag | 3 | Methyl [-CH3] | 0.2451 | 0.7353
Frag | 20 | -CH2- [linear] | 0.0242 | 0.4837
Frag | 1 | -CH- [linear] | -0.1912 | -0.1912
L Kow| * | Log Kow = 9.20 (KowWin estimate) | 0.3073 | 2.8284
MolWt| * | Molecular Weight Parameter | | -1.2070
Const| * | Equation Constant | | -1.5371
RESULT | LOG Bio Half-Life (days) | | -1.0671
RESULT | Bio Half-Life (days) | | 0.08569
NOTE | Bio Half-Life Normalized to 10 g fish at 15 deg C |
_____
                                               Biotransformation Rate Constant:
kM (Rate Constant): 8.089 /day (10 gram fish)
kM (Rate Constant): 4.549 /day (100 gram fish)
kM (Rate Constant): 2.558 /day (1 kg fish)
kM (Rate Constant): 1.438 /day (10 kg fish)
Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (including biotransformation rate estimates):
Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = -0.000 (BCF = 0.9996 L/kg wet-wt)
Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = 0.001 (BAF = 1.001 L/kg wet-wt)
Estimated Log BCF (mid trophic) = 0.033 (BCF = 1.078 L/kg wet-wt)
Estimated Log BAF (mid trophic) = 0.150 (BAF = 1.412 L/kg wet-wt)
Estimated Log BCF (lower trophic) = 0.042 (BCF = 1.102 L/kg wet-wt)
Estimated Log BAF (lower trophic) = 0.814 (BAF = 6.521 L/kg wet-wt)
Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (assuming a biotransformation rate of zero):
Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = 2.680 (BCF = 478.2 L/kg wet-wt)
Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = 6.374 (BAF = 2.367e+006 L/kg wet-wt)
For C18 chain length i.e. tristerain or glycerol tristearate:
SMILES
С
CHEM : Tristearin
MOL FOR: C57 H110 06
MOL WT : 891.51
                - BCFBAF v3.01 ---
Summary Results:
Log BCF (regression-based estimate): 0.50 (BCF = 3.16 L/kg wet-wt)
Biotransformation Half-Life (days) : 1.28e+003 (normalized to 10 g fish)
Log BAF (Arnot-Gobas upper trophic): -0.05 (BAF = 0.893 L/kg wet-wt)
_____
BCF (Bioconcentration Factor):
_____
Log Kow (estimated) : 23.94
Log Kow (experimental): not available from database
Log Kow used by BCF estimates: 23.94
Equation Used to Make BCF estimate:
Log BCF = -0.49 log Kow + 7.554 + Correction
Correction(s): Value
No Applicable Correction Factors
Minimum Log BCF of 0.50 applied when Log Kow > 7
Estimated Log BCF = 0.500 (BCF = 3.162 L/kg wet-wt)
_____
Whole Body Primary Biotransformation Rate Estimate for Fish:
TYPE | NUM | LOG BIOTRANSFORMATION FRAGMENT DESCRIPTION | COEFF | VALUE
Frag | 3 | Linear C4 terminal chain [CCC-CH3] | 0.0341 | 0.1024
Frag | 3 | Ester [-C(=0)-0-C] | -0 7605 | -2 2816
Frag | 3 | Methyl [-CH3] | 0.2451 | 0.7353
Frag | 50 | -CH2- [linear] | 0.0242 | 1.2094
Frag | 1 | -CH- [linear] | -0.1912 | -0.1912
L Kow| * | Log Kow = 23.94 (KowWin estimate) | 0.3073 | 7.3565
MolWtl * | Molecular Weight Parameter | | -2.2861
Const| * | Equation Constant | | -1.5371
______
RESULT | LOG Bio Half-Life (days) | | 3.1075
RESULT | Bio Half-Life (days) | | 1281
NOTE | Bio Half-Life Normalized to 10 g fish at 15 deg C |
```

Biotransformation Rate Constant:

kM (Rate Constant): 0.0005411 /day (10 gram fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.0003043 /day (100 gram fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.0001711 /day (1 kg fish) kM (Rate Constant): 9.623e-005 /day (10 kg fish)

Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (including biotransformation rate estimates): Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = -0.049 (BCF = 0.893 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = -0.049 (BAF = 0.893 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BCF (mid trophic) = -0.031 (BCF = 0.9315 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (mid trophic) = -0.031 (BAF = 0.9315 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BCF (lower trophic) = -0.027 (BCF = 0.9402 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (lower trophic) = -0.027 (BAF = 0.9402 L/kg wet-wt)

Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (assuming a biotransformation rate of zero): Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = -0.049 (BCF = 0.893 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = -0.049 (BAF = 0.893 L/kg wet-wt)

SMILES :

Summary Results:

Log BCF (regression-based estimate): 0.50 (BCF = 3.16 L/kg wet-wt) Biotransformation Half-Life (days) : 2.36e+003 (normalized to 10 g fish) Log BAF (Arnot-Gobas upper trophic): -0.05 (BAF = 0.893 L/kg wet-wt)

BCF (Bioconcentration Factor):

Log Kow (estimated) : 23.29 Log Kow (experimental): not available from database

Equation Used to Make BCF estimate: Log BCF = -0.49 log Kow + 7.554 + Correction

Log Kow used by BCF estimates: 23.29

Correction(s): Value No Applicable Correction Factors Minimum Log BCF of 0.50 applied when Log Kow > 7

Estimated Log BCF = 0.500 (BCF = 3.162 L/kg wet-wt)

Whole Body Primary Biotransformation Rate Estimate for Fish:

Biotransformation Rate Constant: kM (Rate Constant): 0.0002938 /day (10 gram fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.0001652 /day (100 gram fish) kM (Rate Constant): 9.291e-005 /day (1 kg fish) kM (Rate Constant): 5.225e-005 /day (10 kg fish)

Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (including biotransformation rate estimates): Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = -0.049 (BCF = 0.893 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = -0.049 (BAF = 0.893 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (mid trophic) = -0.031 (BCF = 0.9315 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (mid trophic) = -0.031 (BAF = 0.9315 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BCF (lower trophic) = -0.027 (BCF = 0.9402 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (lower trophic) = -0.027 (BAF = 0.9402 L/kg wet-wt)

Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (assuming a biotransformation rate of zero): Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = -0.049 (BCF = 0.893 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = -0.049 (BAF = 0.893 L/kg wet-wt)

(B) Fatty acids: For C8 chain length i.e. octanoinc acid SMILES : 0=C(0)CCCCCCC CHEM : Octanoic acid

MOL FOR: C8 H16 O2 MOL WT : 144.22

BCF (Bioconcentration Factor):

Log Kow (estimated) : 3.03 Log Kow (experimental): 3.05 Log Kow used by BCF estimates: 3.05

Equation Used to Make BCF estimate: Log BCF = 0.50 (Ionic; Log Kow dependent)

Estimated Log BCF = 0.500 (BCF = 3.162 L/kg wet-wt)

Biotransformation Rate Constant: kM (Rate Constant): 1.013 /day (10 gram fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.5697 /day (100 gram fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.3204 /day (1 kg fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.1802 /day (10 kg fish)

Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (including biotransformation rate estimates): Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = 1.922 (BCF = 83.64 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = 1.922 (BAF = 83.64 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (mid trophic) = 1.809 (BCF = 64.46 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (mid trophic) = 1.810 (BAF = 64.49 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BCF (lower trophic) = 1.767 (BCF = 58.48 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (lower trophic) = 1.768 (BAF = 58.65 L/kg wet-wt)

Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (assuming a biotransformation rate of zero): Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = 2.081 (BCF = 120.4 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = 2.143 (BAF = 139 L/kg wet-wt)

(B) Fatty acids: For C18 chain length i.e. stearic acid: SMILES : 0=C(0)CCCCCCCCCCCCCCC CHEM : Stearic acid MOL FOR: C18 H36 02 MOL WT : 284.49 ________BCFBAF v3.01 ------

Summary Results:

Log BCF (regression-based estimate): 1.00 (BCF = 10 L/kg wet-wt) Biotransformation Half-Life (days) : 20.4 (normalized to 10 g fish) Log BAF (Arnot-Gobas upper trophic): 4.90 (BAF = 7.89e+004 L/kg wet-wt)

BCF (Bioconcentration Factor): Log Kow (estimated) : 7.94 Log Kow (experimental): 8.23 Log Kow used by BCF estimates: 8.23

Equation Used to Make BCF estimate: Log BCF = 1.00 (Ionic; Log Kow dependent)

Estimated Log BCF = 1.000 (BCF = 10 L/kg wet-wt)

Whole Body Primary Biotransformation Rate Estimate for Fish:

TYPE | NUM | LOG BIOTRANSFORMATION FRAGMENT DESCRIPTION | COEFF | VALUE

Frag | 1 | Linear C4 terminal chain [CCC-CH3] | 0.0341 | 0.0341 Frag | 1 | Aliphatic acid [-C(=0)-OH] | 0.3803 | 0.3803 Frag | 1 | Methyl [-CH3] | 0.2451 | 0.2451 Frag | 16 | -CH2- [linear] | 0.0242 | 0.3870 L Kow| * | Log Kow = 8.23 (experimental) | 0.3073 | 2.5294 MolWt| * | Molecular Weight Parameter | | -0.7295 Const| * | Equation Constant | | -1.5371 RESULT | LOG Bio Half-Life (days) | | 1.3094 RESULT | Bio Half-Life (days) | | 20.39 NOTE | Bio Half-Life Normalized to 10 g fish at 15 deg C | Biotransformation Rate Constant: kM (Rate Constant): 0.034 /day (10 gram fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.01912 /day (100 gram fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.01075 /day (1 kg fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.006046 /day (10 kg fish) Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (including biotransformation rate estimates): Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = 2.331 (BCF = 214.3 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = 4.897 (BAF = 7.893e+004 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BCF (mid trophic) = 2.473 (BCF = 297.4 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (mid trophic) = 4.985 (BAF = 9.652e+004 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BCF (lower trophic) = 2,517 (BCF = 328.8 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (lower trophic) = 5.040 (BAF = 1.097e+005 L/kg wet-wt) Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (assuming a biotransformation rate of zero): Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = 3.411 (BCF = 2577 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = 7.025 (BAF = 1.06e+007 L/kg wet-wt) SMILES: 0=C(0)CCCCCCC=CCCCCCCC CHEM : Oleic acid MOL FOR: C18 H34 O2 MOL WT : 282.47 BCFBAF v3.01 ---Summary Results: Log BCF (regression-based estimate): 1.75 (BCF = 56.2 L/kg wet-wt) Biotransformation Half-Life (days) : 19.2 (normalized to 10 g fish) Log BAF (Arnot-Gobas upper trophic): 5.18 (BAF = 1.51e+005 L/kg wet-wt) _____ BCF (Bioconcentration Factor): _____ Log Kow (estimated) : 7.73 Log Kow (experimental): 7.64 Log Kow used by BCF estimates: 7.64 Equation Used to Make BCF estimates Log BCF = 1.75 (Ionic; Log Kow dependent) Estimated Log BCF = 1.750 (BCF = 56.23 L/kg wet-wt) _____ Whole Body Primary Biotransformation Rate Estimate for Fish: ------+----+ TYPE | NUM | LOG BIOTRANSFORMATION FRAGMENT DESCRIPTION | COEFF | VALUE Frag | 1 | Linear C4 terminal chain [CCC-CH3] | 0.0341 | 0.0341 Frag | 1 | Aliphatic acid [-C(=O)-OH] | 0.3803 | 0.3803 Frag | 1 | Methyl [-CH3] | 0.2451 | 0.2451 Frag | 14 | -CH2- [linear] | 0.0242 | 0.3386 Frag | 2 | -C=CH [alkenyl hydrogen] | 0.0988 | 0.1977 Frag | 2 | -C=CH [alkenyl hydrogen] | 0.0000 | 0.0000 L Kow| * | Log Kow = 7.64 (experimental) | 0.3073 | 2.3481 MolWt| * | Molecular Weight Parameter | | -0.7243 Const| * | Equation Constant | | -1.5371 RESULT | LOG Bio Half-Life (days) | | 1.2825 RESULT | Bio Half-Life (days) | | 19.17 NOTE | Bio Half-Life Normalized to 10 g fish at 15 deg C | Biotransformation Rate Constant: kM (Rate Constant): 0.03617 /day (10 gram fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.02034 /day (100 gram fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.01144 /day (1 kg fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.006431 /day (10 kg fish) Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (including biotransformation rate estimates): Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = 2.839 (BCF = 690.2 L/kg wet-wt)

Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = 2.039 (bf = 950.2 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = 5.179 (BAF = 1.509e+005 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (mid trophic) = 2.985 (BCF = 966.1 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (mid trophic) = 5.288 (BAF = 1.94e+005 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (lower trophic) = 3.029 (BCF = 1070 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (lower trophic) = 5.356 (BAF = 2.267e+005 L/kg wet-wt)

Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (assuming a biotransformation rate of zero): Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = 3.733 (BCF = 5413 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = 7.157 (BAF = 1.436e+007 L/kg wet-wt) SMILES: OC(CC(=CCC1C(CC2)C3(C2C(CCC(C(C)C)C)C)C4(C)C1CC3)CC4 CHEM : STIGMAST-5-EN-3-OL, (3.BETA.)-MOL FOR: C29 H50 O1 MOL WT: 414.72 BCFBAF v3.01 --Summary Results: Log BCF (regression-based estimate); 2.83 (BCF = 671 L/kg wet-wt) Biotransformation Half-Life (days) : 295 (normalized to 10 g fish) Log BAF (Arnot-Gobas upper trophic): 5.46 (BAF = 2.87e+005 L/kg wet-wt) _____ BCF (Bioconcentration Factor): _____ Log Kow (estimated) : 9.65 Log Kow (experimental): not available from database Log Kow used by BCF estimates: 9.65 Equation Used to Make BCF estimate: Log BCF = -0.49 log Kow + 7.554 + Correction Correction(s): Value No Applicable Correction Factors Estimated Log BCF = 2.827 (BCF = 671.2 L/kg wet-wt) _____ Whole Body Primary Biotransformation Rate Estimate for Fish: _____ TYPE | NUM | LOG BIOTRANSFORMATION FRAGMENT DESCRIPTION | COEFF | VALUE Frag | 1 | Aliphatic alcohol [-OH] | -0.0616 | -0.0616 Frag | 2 | Carbon with 4 single bonds & no hydrogens | -0.2984 | -0.5969 Frag | 6 | Methyl [-CH3] | 0.2451 | 1.4706 Frag | 3 | -CH2- [linear] | 0.0242 | 0.0726 Frag | 3 | -CH- [linear] | -0.1912 | -0.5737 Frag | 8 | -CH2- [cyclic] | 0.0963 | 0.7700 Frag | 5 | -CH - [cyclic] | 0.0126 | 0.0630 Frag | 1 | -C=CH [alkenyl hydrogen] | 0.0988 | 0.0988 Frag | 1 | -C=CH [alkenyl hydrogen] | 0.0000 | 0.0000 Frag | 4 | Number of fused acyclic rings | 0.6477 | 2.5907 Frag | 1 | Four or more fused cyclic rings | -1.7279 | -1.7279 Frag | 2 | Polycyclic -CH3 (4 fused rings or more) | 0.0000 | 0.0000 L Kow| * | Log Kow = 9.65 (KowWin estimate) | 0.3073 | 2.9650 MolWt| * | Molecular Weight Parameter | | -1.0635 Constl * | Equation Constant | | -1.5371 RESULT | LOG Bio Half-Life (days) | | 2.4702 RESULT | Bio Half-Life (days) | | 295.3 NOTE | Bio Half-Life Normalized to 10 g fish at 15 deg C | ______ Biotransformation Rate Constant: kM (Rate Constant): 0.002347 /day (10 gram fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.00132 /day (100 gram fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.0007423 /day (1 kg fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.0004174 /day (10 kg fish) Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (including biotransformation rate estimates): Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = 1.891 (BCF = 77.82 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = 5.458 (BAF = 2.873e+005 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BCF (mid trophic) = 2.047 (BCF = 111.4 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (mid trophic) = 5.126 (BAF = 1.336e+005 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BCF (lower trophic) = 2.095 (BCF = 124.3 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (lower trophic) = 4.882 (BAF = 7.614e+004 L/kg wet-wt) Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (assuming a biotransformation rate of zero): Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = 2.266 (BCF = 184.7 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = 5.967 (BAF = 9.261e+005 L/kg wet-wt) SMILES: 0c1cc2c(c(c1C)C)OC(CCCC(CCCC(C)C)C)C)(C)CC2 CHEM : TOCOPHEROL MOL FOR: C28 H48 O2 MOL WT : 416.69 BCFBAF v3.01 -----Summary Results: Log BCF (regression-based estimate): 1.26 (BCF = 18.1 L/kg wet-wt) Biotransformation Half-Life (days) : 28.4 (normalized to 10 g fish) Log BAF (Arnot-Gobas upper trophic): 1.95 (BAF = 89 L/kg wet-wt) _____ BCF (Bioconcentration Factor): Log Kow (estimated) : 11.63

Log Kow (experimental): not available from database Log Kow used by BCF estimates: 11.63 Equation Used to Make BCF estimate: Log BCF = -0.49 log Kow + 7.554 + Correction Correction(s): Value Alkyl chains (8+ -CH2- groups) -0.596 Estimated Log BCF = 1.258 (BCF = 18.12 L/kg wet-wt) _____ Whole Body Primary Biotransformation Rate Estimate for Fish: TYPE | NUM | LOG BIOTRANSFORMATION FRAGMENT DESCRIPTION | COEFF | VALUE Frag | 1 | Aromatic alcohol [-OH] | -0.4727 | -0.4727 Frag | 1 | Carbon with 4 single bonds & no hydrogens | -0.2984 | -0.2984 Frag | 1 | Aromatic ether [-O-aromatic carbon] | -0.0694 | -0.0694 Frag | 3 | Alkyl substituent on aromatic ring | 0.1781 | 0.5342 Frag | 2 | Aromatic-CH3 | -0.0872 | -0.1743 Frag | 1 | Aromatic-CH2 | -0.3365 | -0.3365 Frag | 1 | Aromatic-H | 0.2664 | 0.2664 Frag | 5 | Methyl [-CH3] | 0.2451 | 1.2255 Frag | 9 | -CH2- [linear] | 0.0242 | 0.2177 Frag | 3 | -CH- [linear] | -0.1912 | -0.5737 Frag | 1 | -CH2- [cyclic] | 0.0963 | 0.0963 Frag | 1 | Number of fused acyclic rings | 0.6477 | 0.6477 Frag | 1 | Number of fused 6-carbon aromatic rings | -0.5779 | -0.5779 L Kow| * | Log Kow = 11.63 (KowWin estimate) | 0.3073 | 3.5748 MolWt| * | Molecular Weight Parameter | | -1.0685 Constl * | Equation Constant | | -1.5371 RESULT | LOG Bio Half-Life (days) | | 1.4540 RESULT | Bio Half-Life (days) | | 28.44 NOTE | Bio Half-Life Normalized to 10 g fish at 15 deg C | Biotransformation Rate Constant: kM (Rate Constant): 0.02437 /day (10 gram fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.0137 /day (100 gram fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.007707 /day (1 kg fish) kM (Rate Constant): 0.004334 /day (10 kg fish) Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (including biotransformation rate estimates): Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = 0.007 (BCF = 1.017 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = 1.949 (BAF = 89 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BCF (mid trophic) = 0.043 (BCF = 1.103 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (mid trophic) = 1.942 (BAF = 87.51 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BCF (lower trophic) = 0.053 (BCF = 1.13 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (lower trophic) = 1.939 (BAF = 86.85 L/kg wet-wt) Arnot-Gobas BCF & BAF Methods (assuming a biotransformation rate of zero): Estimated Log BCF (upper trophic) = 0.459 (BCF = 2.881 L/kg wet-wt) Estimated Log BAF (upper trophic) = 4.004 (BAF = 1.01e+004 L/kg wet-wt) Estimation by CAESAR v.2.1.14 (Due to space limitations, ony the results for the longest alkyl chain containing fatty acid and glyceride has been presented here; remaining estimations are in the CAESAR BCF report PDF under the "Attached background material") : (A) Glycerides: For C18 chain length i.e. tristerain or glycerol tristearate: Compound SMILES: Experimental value [log(L/kg)]: -Predicted BCF [log(L/kg)]: -0.07 Predicted BCF [L/kg]: 0.84 Predicted BCF from sub-model 1 (HM) [log(L/kg)]: 0 Predicted BCF from sub-model 2 (GA) [log(L/kg)]: 0.1 Predicted LogP (MLogP): 10.93 Structural alerts: Carbonyl residue (SR 02); >C=O group (PG 09) Reliability: the predicted compound is outside the Applicability Domain of the model Remarks: none Compound SMILES CCCC Experimental value [log(L/kg)]: -Predicted BCF [log(L/kg)]: -0.07 Predicted BCF [L/kg]: 0.85 Predicted BCF from sub-model 1 (HM) [log(L/kg)]: 0 Predicted (B) Fatty acids: For C18 chain length i.e. stearic acid: Compound SMILES: 0=C(0)CCCCCCCCCCCCCC Experimental value [log(L/kg)]: -Predicted BCF [log(L/kg)]: 1.76

Predicted BCF [L/kg]: 58

Predicted BCF from sub-model 1 (HM) [log(L/kg)]: 1.73 Predicted BCF from sub-model 2 (GA) [log(L/kg)]: 2.47 Predicted LogP (MLogP): 5.69 Structural alerts: Carbonyl residue (SR 02); COOH group (PG 01) Reliability: the predicted compound is outside the Applicability Domain of the model Remarks: none

(C) Unsaponifiable matters: Beta-sitosterols Compound SMILES: OC4CC3=CCC1C(CCC2(C)(C(CCC12)C(C)CCC(CC)C(C)C))C3(C)CC4 Experimental value [log(L/kg)]: -Predicted BCF [log(L/kg)]: 1.09 Predicted BCF [L/kg]: 12 Predicted BCF from sub-model 1 (HM) [log(L/kg)]: 1.3 Predicted BCF from sub-model 2 (GA) [log(L/kg)]: 1.3 Predicted LogP (MLogP): 6.79 Structural alerts: OH group (PG 06) Reliability: the predicted compound is outside the Applicability Domain of the model Remarks: none

(D) Unsaponifiable matters: Tocopherols Compound SMILES: Oc2cc1c(OC(C)(CC1)CCCC(C)CCCC(C)C)c(c2C)C Experimental value [log(L/kg)]: -Predicted BCF [log(L/kg)]: 0.81 Predicted BCF [L/kg]: 6 Predicted BCF from sub-model 1 (HM) [log(L/kg)]: 0.69 Predicted BCF from sub-model 2 (GA) [log(L/kg)]: 1.3 Predicted LogP (MLogP): 6.17 Structural alerts: OH group (PG 06) Reliability: the predicted compound is outside the Applicability Domain of the model Remarks: none

TEST US EPA Predictions ((Due to space limitations, ony the results of the longest alkyl chain containing fatty acid and glyceride has been presented here; remaining estimations are in the US EPA TEST results PDF under the "Attached background material"):

A) Predicted Bioaccumulation factor for Tristearin (CAS 555-43-1) from Consensus method

Prediction results: Bioaccumulation factor Log10: 0.57 (predicted value) Bioaccumulation factor: 3.70

Individual Predictions:

- Hierarchical clustering: N/A
 Single model: N/A
 Group contribution: N/A
- 4. FDA: 0.11
- 4. FDA. 0.11
- 5. Nearest neighbor: 1.02

B) Predicted Bioaccumulation factor for Stearic acid (CAS 57-11-4) from Consensus method

Prediction results: Bioaccumulation factor Log10: 1.08 (predicted value) Bioaccumulation factor: 12.02

Individual Predictions (Log10):

- 1. Hierarchical clustering: 0.20
- 2. Single model: 1.20
- 3. Group contribution: 1.10
- 4. FDA: 0.73
- 5. Nearest neighbor: 2.18

C) Predicted Bioaccumulation factor for beta-sitosterol (CAS 83-46-5) from Consensus method

Prediction results: Bioaccumulation factor Log10: 2.80 (predicted value) Bioaccumulation factor: 636.39

Individual Predictions (Log10): 1. Hierarchical clustering: 2.12

- 2. Single model: 2.23
- 3. Group contribution: 4.53
- 4. FDA: 2.44
- 5. Nearest neighbor: 2.70

 (D) Predicted Bioaccumulation factor for tocopherols (CAS 7616-22-0) from Consensus method Prediction results:
 Bioaccumulation factor Log10: 2.02 (predicted value)
 Bioaccumulation factor: 105.24

Individual Predictions (Log10): 1. Hierarchical clustering: 2.22

- 2. Single model: 2.52
- 3. Group contribution: 3.36
- 4. FDA: 1.05
- 5. Nearest neighbor: 0.96

Summary of the BCF estimations using different QSAR models

| Substance | EPISuite BCFBAF (BCF L/kg wet-wt) | VEGA CAESAR (BCF L/kg wet-wt) | USEPA Test (BCF L/kg wet-wt) | Average (BCF L/kg wet-wt) | Range of BCF values (L/kg) | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| C8 fatty acid | 3.16 | 7.00 | 11.00 | 11.00 | | |
| C18 fatty acid | 10.00 | 58.00 | 12.02 | 11.01 | 11 17 | |
| C18-unsatd. fatty acid (oleic acid) | 56.2 | 72.00 | 16.55 | 16.55 | -11-17 | |
| C8 triglyceride | 281.00 | 3.00 | 3.96 | 142.48 | | |
| C18 triglyceride C18-unsatd. Triglyceride | 3.16 | 0.84 | 3.70 | 3.70 | -4-143 | |
| triolein) | 3.16 | 0.85 | 9.34 | 9.34 | | |
| Tocopherols | 18.1 | 6.00 | 105.24 | 105.24 | 105-654 | |
| Beta-sitosterol | 671.00 | 12.00 | 636.39 | 653.70 | 100 004 | |
| Refer to the QPRF for relia | ability discussion on | the BCF estimations. | | | | |
| alidity criteria fulfilled: | not ap | oplicable | | | | |
| Conclusions: | estim estim EPIW | r the R.7c guidance, since t ations were conducted usir ations for the representativ EB v 4.1, BCF (CAESAR) 2.1 e 'oils, vegetable, deodorize | ng more than one QSAR re constituents via differ .14 and T.E.S.T US EPA i | models. Based on thes ent QSAR models (i.e. model v.4.1.), the overa | se individual BCF BCFBAF v3.01 of all estimated BCF valu | |
| Executive summary: | com requ the c QSA T.E.S docu | BCF value of 'oils, vege putation methods reco irements and chemica constituents were >10, R programs such as B S.T US EPA model v.4.1 iment and/or the ECH/ | ommended in Chapt I safety assessmen the calculations we CFBAF v3.01 of EPIN as stated in table R A practical guide 5. | er R.7a, in: Guidane t. Since the Kow va re performed using NEB v 4.1, BCF (CA 2.7.10-3 of the REA | ce on information alues for some of g more than one \ESAR) 2.1.14 and CH guidance | |
| | fatty dete the li carri and toco input The rang | te test substance is a lacids, fatty acids and rmined for representationer and upperlimit of ed out for octanoic acitrioctanoin, tristearin a pherols and beta-sitos to parameters for the presented between 3.16 -56.2 | unsaponifiable mat tive substances of e the test substance. id, stearic acid and d ind triolein represen terols for the UMs. ograms/softwares. using BCFBAF v.3.0 L/kg for fatty acids | ters (UMs)) the BC ach class present . Hence, the BCF es oleic acid represen ting glycerides of f SMILES notations for the representa , 3.16 -281 L/kg for | F values were >10% to represen- stimations were ting fatty acids atty acids and were used as the tive constituents r the glycerides | |
| | Kow the r subs | and 18.1-671 L/kg for the unsaponifiable matters substance class. Except for the Kow value of tristearin, the estimation by this method is more or less accurate as the molecular weight and the Kow range for the different constituents of test substance were determined to be within the molecular weight and Kow range of the training set compounds. | | | | |
| | hydr 2.1.1 whic usin rang unsa | ce, considering this an ophobic with Kow 6, th 4 model. This model i h is recognised to cov g Caesar BCF model fo e between 7 -72 L/kg f oponifiable matters. Ho rted to be not very relia | ney were additionally s based on Dimitrov er hydrophobic com or the representative for fatty acids, 0.84 - owever, these estima | v modelled using the e et al., 2005 experi- pounds. The BCF ve constituents were -3 L/kg for glycerid ations using BCF ((| ne BCF (CAESAR) mental database, values estimated e estimated to es and 6 -12 for CAESAR) were | |
| | pred the L hiera pred resp to be for u the E | ictions, the BCF values JS EPA T.E.S.T QSAR m archical clustering, sing ict an overall average l ective average BCF rar e 11 -16.55 L/kg for fat nsaponifiable matters 3CF estimation for the e not very similar and h | s were additionally e nodel. This model us gle model, group con BCF value under the nges for the represe ty acids, 3.7 -9 L/kg . However, the differ representative subs | stimated using the ses several method ntribution, FDA, nea name 'consensus ntative constituent for glycerides, 105 rent training set sul tances via the US | BCF interface of dologies (such as arest neighbour) t method'. The s were estimated 5.24 -636.39 L/kg bstances used fo EPA TEST program | |
| | less | all, considering that th in the same BCF range ce the overall uncertai | e, an average estima | ited BCF value was | determined to | |
| | estin to ra the s | efore, based on the BC nated BCF value of the nge between 4-654 L/I tatement stated in cha tance is probably lowe | e 'oils, vegetable, dec kg (US EPA, 2012b; 2 apter R.11 from ECH | odorizer distillates' Zhao et al., 2008). IA i.e., "the aquatic | can be considere This is in line with BCF of a | |

Description of key information

Based on the average BCF estimations for the major constituents using QSAR models (i.e., BCFBAF v3.01 of EPIWEB v 4.1, BCF (CAESAR) 2.1.14 and T. E. S. T US EPA model v.4.1), 'Oils, vegetable, deodorizer distillates' can be considered to have a BCF value ranging between 4-654 L/kg. This is further supported by the low BCF results determined in short-term in vitro bioaccumulation studies conducted with tristearin and palmitic acid (Freitag, 1985) as well as the metabolic potential of fish to break down the triglycerides and fatty acids and use as source of energy (Tocher, 2003).

654 L/kg ww

Key value for chemical safety assessment

BCF (aquatic species):

Additional information

The BCF value of 'oils, vegetable, deodorizer distillates' was estimated through the computation methods recommended in Chapter R.7a, in: Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment. Since the Kow values for some of the constituents were >10, the calculations were performed using more than one QSAR programs, such as BCFBAF v3.01 of EPIWEB v 4.1, BCF (CAESAR) 2.1.14 and T.E.S.T US EPA model v.4.1 as stated in table R.7.10-3 of the REACH guidance document. As the test substance is a UVCB/mixture of different constituents (i.e. glycerides of fatty acids, fatty acids and unsaponifiable matters (UMs)), the BCF values were determined for representative substances of each class present at >10% to represent the lower and upper limit. Hence, the BCF estimations were carried out for octanoic acid, stearic acid and oleic acid representing fatty acids and trioctanoin, tristearin and triolein representing glycerides of fatty acids and tocopherols and beta-sitosterols for the UMs. SMILES notations were used as the input parameters for the program/software. The BCF values estimated using BCFBAF v.3.0 for the representative constituents ranged between 3.16 -56.2 L/kg for fatty acids, 3.16 -281 L/kg for the glycerides and 18.1-671 L/kg for the unsaponifiable matters. Except for the Kow value of tristearin, the estimation by this method is more or less accurate as the molecular weight and the Kow range for the different constituents of test substance were determined to be within the molecular weight and Kow range of the training set compounds. Hence, considering this and the fact that all the representative constituents are hydrophobic with log Kow 6, they were additionally modelled using the BCF (CAESAR) 2.1.14 model. This model is based on Dimitrov et al., 2005 experimental database, which is recognised to cover hydrophobic compounds. The BCF values estimated using Caesar BCF model for the representative constituents were estimated to range between 7-72 L/kg for fatty acids, 0.84-3 L/kg for glycerides and 6-12 for unsaponifiable matters. However, these estimations using BCF (CAESAR) were reported not to be very reliable. Therefore, to further increase the confidence of the predictions, the BCF values were additionally estimated using the BCF interface of the US EPA T.E.S.T QSAR model. This model uses several methodologies (such as hierarchical clustering, single model, group contribution, FDA, nearest neighbour etc.) to predict an overall average BCF value under the name 'consensus method'. The respective average BCF ranges for the representative constituents were estimated to be 11 -16.55 L/kg for fatty acids, 3.7 -9 L/kg for glycerides and 105.24 -636.39 L/kg for unsaponifiable matters. However, the different training set substances used for the BCF estimation for the representative substances via the US EPA TEST program were not very similar and had presence of other functional groups. Overall, considering that the estimated values from the three models lie more or less in the same range, an average estimated BCF value was determined to reduce the overall uncertainty or limitations of each of the models. Therefore, based on the BCF estimations for the representative constituents, the estimated BCF value of the 'oils, vegetable, deodorizer distillates' can be considered to range between 4-654 L/kg (US EPA, 2012b; Zhao et al., 2008). This is in line with the statement stated in ECHA guidance R.11 i.e., "the aquatic BCF of a substance is probably lower than 2000 if the calculated Log Kow is higher than 10".

Additional recommended weight of evidence (WoE) in chapter R.11 which supports the overall low bioaccumulation potential of the substance/constituents are:

- Low bioaccumulation based on short term tests with tristearin and palmitic acid: Low bioaccumulation potential has been interpreted based on BCF value of <10 and 60 observed for tristearin and palmitic acid in a short term bioaccumulation study conducted in fish following exposure for 3 d (Freitag et al., 1985).

- Slight water solubility: The water solubility of the test substance may range from 6.4 -40 mg/L at 20 ± 2°C which indicates that there would be relatively low concentration of the substance in the aquatic environment.

- Ready biodegrability of the substance: The ready biodegradation potential of the substance under stringent test conditions indicates that there would be relatively low concentration of the substance in the aquatic environment thereby leading to low bioavailability in aquatic organisms.

Favourable mammalian toxicokinetic data: This includes low uptake potential of the longer chain glycerides and fatty
acids constituents together with the general ability of the mammals to metabolise these constituents and use as source
of energy via beta-oxidation.

- Metabolism potential in fish: Similar to the mammals, the triglycerides and their constituent fatty acids are known to be broken down via beta-oxidation to serve as an important source of metabolic energy for growth and reproduction in fish (Tocher, 2003).

Therefore, the above WoE together with the estimated BCF values of the major constituents (ranging from 4-654 L/kg) are both supportive of the fact that the substance will have a low potential for bioaccumulation in aquatic as well as terrestrial organisms.

Information on Registered Substances comes from registration dossiers which have been assigned a registration number. The assignment of a registration number does however not guarantee that the information in the dossier is correct or that the dossier is compliant with Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (the REACH Regulation). This information has not been reviewed or verified by the Agency or any other authority. The content is subject to change without prior notice. Reproduction or further distribution of this information may be subject to copyright protection. Use of the information without obtaining the permission from the owner(s) of the respective information might violate the rights of the owner.

