- Dossier preparation manuals
- <u>Q&A</u>
- Create support request
- <u>IUCLID user community</u>
- Additional information
- Video tutorials

SuperUser EPA/ORD/CCTE/SCDCD

- User Settings
- Logout
- Dashboard
- Substances
- NDA204790-dolutegravir

dossier created for substance NDA204790-dolutegravir

19f953ec-e3d2-4f67-8f3a-75d1375f4a96



OECD Exchange of experimental data NDA204790-dolutegravir

- 1 General information
- 2 Classification and Labelling
- 4 Physical and chemical properties
- 5 Environmental fate and pathways
- 6 Ecotoxicological information
- 7 Toxicological information

16

- 7.1 Toxicokinetics, metabolism and distribution
- 7.2 Acute Toxicity
- 7.3 Irritation / corrosion
- 7.4 Sensitisation
- 7.5 Repeated dose toxicity
- 87.6 Genetic toxicity

- 7.7 Carcinogenicity
 - 2
 - Carcinogenicity Study (gavage) of S-349572 sodium in Rats for 104 Weeks 2
 - Carcinogenicity Study (Gavage) of S-349572 Sodium in Mice for 104 Weeks 09-2177
- 7.8 Toxicity to reproduction
 - 5
- 7.9 Specific investigations
- 7.10 Exposure related observations in humans
 - 1
- 7.11 Toxic effects on livestock and pets
- $\circ ~~ 7.12 ~~ {\rm Additional ~toxicological~information}$
- 8 Analytical methods
- 11 Guidance on safe use
- Inherited templates

UUID b4c14bd9-b05f-4f	8d-ac56-a5d8cc93	31831 🔲 Hide empty fields		
Compare Docur	ment			
120				
Administrative data	Data source	Materials and methods	Results and discussion	Overall remarks, attachments
Applicant's summary	and conclusion]		
0				
Administrative data				
Endpoint carcinogenicity: oral				
Type of information experimental study				
Adequacy of study				
Robust study summar	у			
Used for classification	L			
Used for SDS				
Study period				
Reliability				
Rationale for reliability incl.	. deficiencies			
Data waiving				

Justification for type of information Attached justification # Attached justification Reason / purpose Actions Cross-reference

Reason / purpose for cross-reference Related information Remarks Actions

Data source

Reference
Data access
Data protection claimed
Materials and methods
Test guideline
Qualifier Guideline Version / remarks Deviations Actions
Principles of method if other than guideline
GLP compliance

Test material

Test material information

• NDA204790_TM1 | Dolutegravir | Sodium (4R,9aS)-5-Hydroxy-4-methyl-6,10-dioxo-3,4,6,9,9a,10-hexahydro-2H-1-oxa-4a,8adiazaanthracene-7-carboxylic acid 2,4-difluorobenzylamide | 1051375-19-9 (Na salt)

Additional test material information

Specific details on test material used for the study Drug, lot #, and % purity: S-349572 sodium, lot B86001 (98.9%), lot 091001 100.1%

Specific details on test material used for the study (confidential)

Test animals
Species
rat
Strain
Sprague-Dawley
Details on species / strain selection
Sex

male/female

Details on test animals or test system and environmental conditions

Age: Approximately 7 weeks Animal housing: Animals were pair housed in elevated, stainless steel, wire mesh cages during the first week of the stabilization period and individually housed thereafter.

Route of administration oral: gavage

Type of inhalation exposure (if applicable)

Vehicle

other: Solution/Aqueous 0.5 w/w% hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) solution with 0.1 w/w% Tween 80 (0.5% HPMC/0.1% Tween 80)

[default]: Solution/Aqueous 0.5 w/w% hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) solution with 0.1 w/w% Tween 80 (0.5% HPMC/0.1% Tween 80)

Mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD)

Geometric standard deviation (GSD)

Remarks on MMAD

Details on exposure

Analytical verification of doses or concentrations

Details on analytical verification of doses or concentrations

Duration of treatment / exposure 104 weeks

Frequency of treatment once daily

Post exposure period not specified

Doses / concentrations

Dose / conc. Remarks Actions 1 Dose / conc. 10 mg/kg bw/day (actual dose received) Remarks 2 Dose / conc. mg/kg bw/day (actual dose received) Remarks 3 Dose / conc. 50 mg/kg bw/day (actual dose received) Remarks 4 Dose / conc. 2 mg/kg bw/day (actual dose received) Remarks

No. of animals per sex per dose 65/sex/group

Control animals

Details on study design

Methods Doses: 0 (water) or 0 (0.5% HPMC/0.1% Tween 80), 2, 10, or 50 mg/kg/day Frequency of dosing: Once daily Dose volume: 10 mL/kg/day Route of administration: Oral gavage Formulation/Vehicle: Solution/Aqueous 0.5 w/w% hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) solution with 0.1 w/w% Tween 80 (0.5% HPMC/0.1% Tween 80) Basis of dose selection: In the 6-month study in rats, the difference in exposure levels between the 500 mg/kg/day dose group and the 50 mg/kg/day dose group was as little as 2 fold; therefore, based on a dosing period of 104 weeks for this study a high dosage of 50 mg/kg/day was chosen as the maximally tolerated dose. Species/Strain: Rats/Sprague-Dawley

Number/Sex/Group: 65 Age: Approximately 7 weeks Animal housing: Animals were pair housed in elevated, stainless steel, wire mesh cages during the first week of the stabilization period and individually housed thereafter. Paradigm for dietary restriction: Not applicable Dual control employed: Yes Interim sacrifice: No Satellite groups: Toxicokinetics Deviation from study protocol: Due to the dose formulation batch size requirements necessitating the preparation of 2 batches, single samples from each batch were sampled and analyzed rather than the protocol required duplicate samples from a single batch. The Week 1 homogeneity and dose concentration did not meet the protocol acceptance criteria (\diamondsuit 10% of the nominal concentration) and was therefore reformulated. The Group 3, 14 day refrigerated stability analysis concentration (82.3%) was not within the protocol specified criteria of within \diamondsuit 10% of the initial Group 3 concentration (98.8%).

Positive control
Examinations
Observations and examinations performed and frequency
Sacrifice and pathology
Other examinations
Statistics
Any other information on materials and methods incl. tables
Results and discussion
Results of examinations
Clinical signs
Description (incidence and severity)
Dermal irritation (if dermal study)
Description (incidence and severity)
Mortality
Description (incidence)
Body weight and weight changes
Description (incidence and severity)
Food consumption and compound intake (if feeding study)
Description (incidence and severity)
Food efficiency
Description (incidence and severity)
Water consumption and compound intake (if drinking water study)
Description (incidence and severity)
Ophthalmological findings
Description (incidence and severity)
Haematological findings
Description (incidence and severity)
Clinical biochemistry findings

Description (incidence and severity)
Endocrine findings
Description (incidence and severity)
Urinalysis findings
Description (incidence and severity)
Behaviour (functional findings)
Description (incidence and severity)
Immunological findings
Description (incidence and severity)
Organ weight findings including organ / body weight ratios
Description (incidence and severity)
Gross pathological findings
Description (incidence and severity)
Neuropathological findings
Description (incidence and severity)
Histopathological findings: non-neoplastic
Description (incidence and severity)
Histopathological findings: neoplastic
Description (incidence and severity)
Other effects
Description (incidence and severity)
Details on results
Relevance of carcinogenic effects / potential

Effect levels

Key result Dose descriptor Effect level Based on Sex Basis for effect level Remarks on result Actions 1 Key result Dose descriptor NOEL Effect level <= 50 mg/kg bw/day (actual dose received) Based on Sex Basis for effect level

Evaluation of Tumor Findings No tumor incidences satisfied the appropriate criteria to be described as statistically significant.

• food consumption and compound intake

Remarks on result

2 Key result Dose descriptor NOEL Effect level <= 50 mg/kg bw/day (actual dose received) Based on Sex Basis for effect level

• gross pathology

Remarks on result 3 Second S

• histopathology: neoplastic

Remarks on result 4 Constraints on result Dose descriptor NOEL Effect level <= 50 mg/kg bw/day (actual dose received) Based on Sex Basis for effect level

• mortality

Remarks on result 5 Key result Dose descriptor NOEL Effect level <= 50 mg/kg bw/day (actual dose received) Based on Sex Basis for effect level

• body weight and weight gain

Remarks on result 6 Key result Dose descriptor NOEL Effect level <= 50 mg/kg bw/day (actual dose received) Based on Sex Basis for effect level

• clinical signs

Remarks on result

7 Key result Dose descriptor NOEL Effect level <= 50 mg/kg bw/day (actual dose received) Based on Sex Basis for effect level

• histopathology: non-neoplastic

Remarks on result 8 Key result Dose descriptor NOAEL Effect level 50 mg/kg bw/day (actual dose received) Based on Sex male/female Basis for effect level

• other: Not reported by medical writer

[default] : Not reported by medical writer

Remarks on result

Target system / organ toxicity

Key result Critical effects observed Lowest effective dose / conc. System Organ Treatment related Dose response relationship Relevant for humans Actions

Any other information on results incl. tables

Overall remarks, attachments

Overall remarks

Attachments

Type Attached (confidential) document Attached (sanitised) documents for publication Remarks Actions

Illustration (picture/graph)

Applicant's summary and conclusion

Conclusions

TOP

Executive summary

Key Study Findings tS-349572 administered orally by gavage to rats at doses of 2, 10 and 50 mg/kg/day for up to 2 years had no effect on survival and was not carcinogenic. tThe no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) for non-neoplastic findings after chronic oral administration was the high dose of 50 mg/kg/day. tThe steady state (Day 182) AUCo-24h values for the high dose groups were 713 and 1140 Hg.li/mL for males and females, respectively, which were 13-fold and 21-fold the mean estimated steady-state human AUCo-24h of 54 pg.h/mL (50 mg qd to adults), respectively. Adequacy of Carcinogenicity Study The doses used for this study were appropriately selected based on MTD and saturation of absorption. Appropriateness of Test Models CD Sprague Dawley rats are an appropriate animal model. Evaluation of Tumor Findings No tumor incidences satisfied the appropriate criteria to be described as statistically significant.

- Dashboard
- Substances
- •

.

•

.

- Mixtures / Products
- Articles
- Categories

Toolbox

- Template
- Manage Reports

Inventory manager

- Contact
- Legal entity
- Sites
- Reference substance
- Test material
- Literature reference

User management

- User Settings
- Users
- Roles

About IUCLID

- About
- Help